

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND ISSUE FEE DUE

IM52/0429

RONALD B COOLLEY ARNOLD WHITE & DURKEE P O BOX 4433 HOUSTON TX 77210

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	TOTAL CLAIMS	EXAMINER AND GROUP ART UNIT		DATE MAILED	
09/094.	008 06/09/90	3 017	DRODGE. J	1723	04/29/99	
First Named Applicant DELDI	ICA,	35	USC 154(b) term ext. =	0 Days	· ·	

TITLE OF INVENTION MODIFIED ATMOSPHERE PACKAGE

ATTY'S DOCKET NO.	CLASS-SUBCLASS	BATCH NO.	APF	PLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	FEE DUE	DATE DUE
1 PCOA: 673-	1 426-1	24.000	S50	UTILIT	Y NO	\$1210,00	07/29/99

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED.

THE ISSUE FEE MUST BE PAID WITHIN <u>THREE MONTHS</u> FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. <u>THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED.</u>

HOW TO RESPOND TO THIS NOTICE:

- I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.
 If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:
 - A. If the status is changed, pay twice the amount of the FEE DUE shown above and notify the Patent and Trademark Office of the change in status, or
 - B. If the status is the same, pay the FEE DUE shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

- A. Pay FEE DUE shown above, or
- B. File verified statement of Small Entity Status before, or with, payment of 1/2 the FEE DUE shown above.
- II. Part B-Issue Fee Transmittal should be completed and returned to the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) with your ISSUE FEE. Even if the ISSUE FEE has already been paid by charge to deposit account, Part B Issue Fee Transmittal should be completed and returned. If you are charging the ISSUE FEE to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B-Issue Fee Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted.
- III. All communications regarding this application must give application number and batch number.

 Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Box ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE COPY



UNITED STATE: DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/094.008 06/09/98 DELDUCA G PCOA: 673-1
EXAMINER

IM52/0429

RONALD B COOLLEY
ARNOLD WHITE & DURKEE
P 0 BOX 4433
HOUSTON TX 77210

DATE MAILED?

04.420

. 04/29/99	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY	
All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. This communication is responsive to HILLESPINSE of 4-12-1999 and Terminal Discignment Hiles 4-12-1999 and 4-12-19	
If The allowed claim(s) is/are 16-32 Now renumbered claims1-17	9
The drawings filed on are acceptable.	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE to comply with the requirements noted below is set to EXPIRE THREE MONTH FROM THE "DATE MAILED" of this Office action. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	S
Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL APPLICATION, PTO-152, which discloses that the oath or declaration is deficient. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION IS REQUIRED.	
Applicant MUST submit NEW FORMAL DRAWINGS	
because the originally filed drawings were declared by applicant to be informal.	
Including changes required by the Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948, attached hereto or to Paper No.	
including changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed on, which has been approved by the examiner.	1
including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment/Comment.	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the reverse side of the drawings. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftperson.	
☐ Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.	
Any response to this letter should include, in the upper right hand corner, the APPLICATION NUMBER (SERIES CODE/SERIAL NUMBEF if applicant has received a Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due, the ISSUE BATCH NUMBER and DATE of the NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE should also be included.	i).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Examiner's Amendment/Comment	
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	
Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance	



UNITED LATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DA	ING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
	09/094,008	06/09/98	DELDUC	A		G	PCOA: 673-1
							MINER
		2/0429	EAAWINGA				
	RONALD B COOLLEY				DRODGE, J		
	ARNOLD WHITE P O BOX 4433	& DURKEE			Af	RT UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	HOUSTON TX 77	210				1723	19
						AILED:	1
			INTERVI	EW SUMMARY	DAIL 11	IAILLD.	04/29/99
All n	articipante (applicant, applican	atio representative	DTO				
All þe	articipants (applicant, applicar	nt's representative, i	P1O personnel	:			
(1)_	Joseph C	Ir dye		(3)			
(2)_	Michael	Blankstein	1	(4)			
Date	of Interview	4-22 -1999					
Type	: Telephonic Televide	eo Conference	Personal (conv	is given to Pannlicant F	applican	t'e roprocont	entium)
							-
EXNI	oit shown or demonstration co	enducted: Larges I	☑ No If yes, b	rief description:			
Clain	ement was reached. on(s) discussed: of prior art discussed	rdly all					
Desc	ription of the general nature o	of what was agreed	to if an agreem	ent was reached, or any other	commen	ts: Ap	olizanturep
(Mr. Blankstein) was advised that an additional Terminal Dischance warnecessary to							
obviote a double patenting rejection over claims of DelDuca et al patent							
5,698/250. also DelDuca et al patent 5,811,142 is to be mude of record, offerally							
_ <u></u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5m892 GCC	~	•			
(A fu must attacl	ller description, if necessary, a be attached. Also, where no	and a copy of the ar	nendments, if a	vailable, which the examiner	/ agreed w	ould render t able, a sumn	he claims allowable nary thereof must be
	It is not necessary for applica						
IS NO action	s the paragraph above has b DT WAIVED AND MUST INCL n has are ready been filed, AF BTANCE OF THE INTERVIEV	LUDE THE SUBSTA PLICANT IS GIVEN	NCE OF THE I	NTERVIEW. (See MPEP See	ction 713.	04). If a reply	to the last Office

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.





Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be</u> <u>made of record in the application</u> whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Application Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
 of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
 contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.